

From: Mindy Nguyen <Mindy.Nguyen@lacity.org>
Sent time: 09/21/2020 05:53:18 PM
To: a.peterson@californiamail.com
Cc: Craig Bullock <craig.bullock@lacity.org>; Mitch O'Farrell <councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>
Subject: Re: Fw: Hollywood Center - Please include this letter & attachments into the administrative record -- Thank you

Hello,

I was just alerted that you had been trying to contact me and saw that your emails dated 9/11, 9/14, 9/16 and 9/21 were in my Spam folder. I apologize for the delay in response; however, your comments have been received and will be included in the Administrative Record for the Hollywood Center Project.

Regards,

On Fri, Sep 11, 2020 at 1:55 PM <a.peterson@californiamail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Bullock.

Re-sending my 9/7 email as seen below. Looking forward to your response.
Thank you.

Sent: Monday, September 07, 2020 at 10:55 AM
From: a.peterson@californiamail.com
To: craig.bullock@lacity.org
Cc: councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org
Subject: Hollywood Center

Hi Mr. Bullock.

I was hoping you can answer a few questions related to the Millennium project in Hollywood for me.

1. In your 5/13/2020 email to Luciralia Ibarra, you tell her:
"the councilmember was contacted by multiple Hollywood stakeholders regarding the Hollywood Center Project. These stakeholders are encouraging us to be supportive of the inclusion of office space to compliment the housing. Their recommendations best match Alternative 8 in the DEIR."

Can you please provide the dates and times these "stakeholders" contacted Councilmember O'Farrell and what they specifically said? These requests are a matter of public record. No comments logged in the public comments section of the record have been located that match up with these "encouragements". Please also send over the DEIR section you refer to as stated in your letter (quoted above). Thanks.

2. Is there any reason you have not included in the record the surplus of comments made to Councilmember O'Farrell requesting he deny this fraudulent and illegal project?
3. It is also apparent your office may not understand how the alleged "senior affordable housing" proposal of this project works. In your 5/13 email you say:
"the councilmember has made it clear that he won't allow for a decrease in the project's affordable housing component. Affordable housing, especially for seniors, is very much needed".

Under new Alternative 8, all of the senior units from the original project will get dramatically shrunk, and all of the 2-bedroom units from the original project will be axed. Whereas in the original project the senior units ranged from 840 – 1435 square feet in size, Alternative 8 has them all at 612 square feet. The average unit size under the project sold to the public was 932 square feet. In other words, the average project unit size was 52% larger than the inferior junk of Alternative 8. Additionally, the Councilmember has been silent as to the luxury housing that this projects includes. Is your office aware of the areas direct needs and that luxury housing is not one of them?

4. At its July 24, 2013 city council hearing, Councilmember O'Farrell says he:

"takes any issues raised very seriously especially in relation to public safety."

Shortly thereafter, Dana Prevos from LADBS Grading Division speaks on the investigations to the site relating to the earthquake faults. Prevos states that LA already recognizes that the Hollywood Fault is already considered an active fault. The department has not argued this. Councilmember O'Farrell has also been silent as to the findings that the California Geological Survey came back with in 2014 that showed even more active faults running through the site specifically avoided in Millennium's trenching.

We would like to know for what reasons the Councilmember supports the consultants who were paid by the developers, rather than the truly objective state departments who is the department charged with the task of mapping these fault zones out to keep us safe.

What is it the Councilmember gets out of this and why is it so much more important than putting the people who voted him into office at risk?

O'Farrell and his office are in the same boat as the other councilmembers, who stand in line as they each undergo FBI investigations. If there has ever been a time to support what a few thousand constituents have put into the record, all who have concluded the same thing, it would be now.

I am looking forward to your response. In the meantime, I am including some links to some information I respectfully request you read. Even better if councilmember O'Farrell can read these documents. He has an obligation to read ALL of the data and all of the comments for him to fully understand how his voters feel. This was, when he says his "constituents" or the "communities", he will know for sure what they want and how they feel. This is important because right now, his lack of support and lack of communication with the neighbors is very concerning.

Many thanks.

- Attached is a copy of the CGS report that followed the above mentioned City council hearing. Meaning additional evidence that was provided to the City showed additional fault lines running through the site. The report includes logs of CGS' site visit and how they were precluded from taking photos, notes and exploring. The report also includes evidence of where Millennium avoid trenching, so as to avoid the exact locations of what would be most damning to their project.
- Attached is a copy of a public comment written from a senior woman who lives in the neighborhood of the proposed project site.
- [Here is a direct link to the July 24, 2013 LA City Council hearing](#) (Councilmember O'Farrell begins at 01:45:25)
- [Here is a direct link to the public comments made during the scoping period](#) (beginning on page 26)
- [Here is a direct link to the FEIR Appendix A](#), comments made during the DEIR period (many missing and not included)

Please ensure this letter and its attachments are included in the administrative record for the Hollywood Millennium case as these communications are matter of public record.

I look forward to hearing from you Mr. Bullock.

-A. Peterson



Mindy Nguyen

Preferred Pronouns: She, Hers, Her
City Planner

Los Angeles City Planning

221 N. Figueroa St., Suite 1350

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Planning4LA.org

T: (213) 847-3674



E-NEWS